| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | 09/876,915 | WILHELM, ROBERT G. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Gregory M. Desire | 2624 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Gregory M. Desire</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) Applicant's representative. | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 5 June 2009 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NA | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 16, and 19 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| /Gregory M. Desire/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624 | | | • |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ıve Sıgnature – if aj | ppropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Regarding process claims 1, 16 and 20, examiner contacted applicant's representative to discuss an examiner's amendment to include a computer or processor. In view of bilski said claims would have to be rejected with 35 USC 101 rejection, the claims are not tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or the transform of a particular article to a different state or thing. Applicant did not want to amend the claims to include any system. The examiner decided to send out a non final rejection.